

# Exponential Time Series Analysis in Lattice QCD

George T. Fleming

Yale University

QCDNA IV

02 May 2007

# Outline

- ▶ Definition of the problem
- ▶ Estimating ground state energies
  - ▶ Limitations due to variance growth
- ▶ Estimating excited state energies
  - ▶ Bayesian Methods
    - ▶ Constrained Fitting
    - ▶ Maximum Entropy Method
  - ▶ Black Box Methods
  - ▶ Variational Methods

# Exponential Time Series (I)

- ▶ What we heard yesterday:
  - ▶ Generating ensembles of gauge fields  $\{U\}$ .
  - ▶ Solving linear systems  $[D(U) + m] \chi = \delta(x - x_0)$  for propagation of quarks from a single point  $x_0$  to anywhere  $x$ .
- ▶ Using these pieces we construct correlation functions  $C(t)$  to compute physical observables. A typical model function:
 
$$C_{ij}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) = \sum_{k=1}^K Z_{ik}^*(\mathbf{p}, t_0) Z_{kj}(\mathbf{p}, t) \exp[-E_k(\mathbf{p})(t - t_0)]$$
  - ▶  $i, j$  label arrangements of quarks and gluons at times  $t_0, t$ , same irrep of  $O_h \times T^3$ .
  - ▶  $Z_{kj}(\mathbf{p}, t)$  are model parameters that indicate how likely an arrangement  $j$  will “look like” a physical state  $k$  at time  $t$ . Should be independent of  $t$  on average.
  - ▶ Energies  $E_k(\mathbf{p})$  can be compared to real experimental data.
  - ▶ Energies are ordered:  $0 \leq E_1(\mathbf{p}) \leq E_2(\mathbf{p}) \leq \dots$
  - ▶ Easy to Extract lowest energy  $E_1(\mathbf{p})$  at large times:  $t \gg t_0$

## Exponential Time Series (II)

- ▶ A meson correlation function in some more detail:

$$C_{ij}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \text{Tr} [\Gamma_i M^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0; \mathbf{x}, t) \Gamma_j M^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_0, t_0)]$$

- ▶ Solving the linear system for a single site  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is sufficient to compute the correlation function.
- ▶ Fourier transform at the source  $\mathbf{x}_0$  would be prohibitively expensive. Physics/symmetry saves the day.
- ▶ Sometimes there are disconnected contributions:

$$C_{ij}^{\text{disc}}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \text{Tr} [\Gamma_i M^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0; \mathbf{x}_0, t_0)] \text{Tr} [\Gamma_j M^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}, t)]$$

- ▶ Really expensive. Trace estimation needs hundreds or thousands of linear solves. (A. Stathopoulos, J. Osborn)

## Exponential Time Series (III)

- ▶ Returning to our typical model function:

$$C_{ij}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) = \sum_{k=1}^K Z_{ik}^*(\mathbf{p}) Z_{kj}(\mathbf{p}) \exp[-E_k(\mathbf{p})(t - t_0)]$$

- ▶ Treating  $i, j, k$  as matrix indices gives the form: (A. Lichtl)

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) = \mathbf{Z}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{p})^{t-t_0} \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{p})$$

- ▶ A matrix element of  $\mathbf{C}$  gives a  $T \times K$  Vandermonde system:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{a} : \quad y_t = C_{ij}(t), \alpha_k = e^{-E_k}, \phi_{tk} = \alpha_k^t, \mathbf{a}_k = Z_{ik}^* Z_{kj} e^{-E_k t_0}$$

- ▶ The Vandermonde system is useful for black box methods.

(H.-W. Lin)

- ▶ Vandermonde form useful starting point for implementing variable projections:  $\alpha$  nonlinear,  $\mathbf{a}$  linear. (V. Pereyra)

- ▶ Sometimes, we'd rather work with the spectral function even if ill-posed (P. Petreczky)

$$C(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \sigma(\omega)$$

## Estimating ground state energies

- ▶ For  $t \gg t_0$ , the correlator projects onto the ground state:

$$C_{ij}(t) \approx Z_{i1}^* Z_{1j} \exp[-E_1(t - t_0)]$$

- ▶ A non-linear least squares fit over  $t_{\max} \geq t \geq t_{\min} \gg t_0$  is most common method. Data is highly correlated, so covariance matrices must be used in  $\chi^2$  minimization.

$$\chi^2 = [\mathbf{y} - \Phi(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{a}]^\dagger \mathbf{C}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - \Phi(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{a}]$$

- ▶ The simplest black box method, called *effective mass*, gives a non-optimal estimate:

$$E_1 = -\log [C_{ij}(t + 1)/C_{ij}(t)]$$

## Limitations due to variance growth

- ▶ Quick review of hadron spectrum:  $m_\pi \ll m_\rho \lesssim m_N$
- ▶ Dominant variance of the correlation function

$$\text{Var } C_{ij}(t, t_0) =$$

$$\text{Tr} \left[ M^{\dagger-1}(t, t_0) \Gamma_j^\dagger M^{\dagger-1}(t_0, 0) \Gamma_i^\dagger \Gamma_i M^{-1}(t_0, t) \Gamma_j M^{-1}(t, t_0) \right]$$

- ▶  $\Gamma_j^\dagger \Gamma_i$  contracts quarks in irrep of the vacuum. Lowest energy state in that channel is two pions at rest.

$$\text{Var } C_{ii}(\mathbf{p}; t, t_0) \approx e^{-2m_\pi(t-t_0)}, \quad t \gg t_0$$

- ▶ Signal-to-noise falls exponentially for all correlators except pion at rest:

$$\text{SNR} \approx \frac{\exp[-E_1(t-t_0)]}{\sqrt{\exp[-2m_\pi(t-t_0)]}} = \exp[-(E_1 - m_\pi)(t-t_0)]$$

# Estimating Excited State Energies

- ▶ Least squares minimization can be notoriously difficult
  - ▶ Very sensitive to initial guesses. Variable projections (VARPRO) needs guesses only for nonlinear parameters and it does improve fit stability.
  - ▶ Can estimate  $E_1$  on  $t_{\min 1} \leq t \leq t_{\max}$ , freezing it and then estimating  $E_2$  on  $t_{\min 2} \leq t \leq t_{\min 1}$ . Can be very sensitive to choice of  $t_{\min 1}$ .
- ▶ Three general approaches are being pursued to address this problem:
  - ▶ Bayesian Methods
  - ▶ Black Box Methods
  - ▶ Variational Methods



# Bayesian Methods

- ▶ Augment likelihood functions with prior probabilities.
- ▶ Priors should encode *only* our understanding of the model without any reference to data. Otherwise, predictability is lost.
- ▶ Constrained Fitting
  - ▶ A popular method for choosing Gaussian-distributed priors. Prevents minimizer from running away along flat directions. Difficult to understand how to relate these Gaussian parameters to a theoretical calculation.
  - ▶ VARPRO may be useful in the constrained fitting context in that priors need to be given only for non-linear parameters.
- ▶ Maximum Entropy Method
  - ▶ Uses the Shannon-James entropy to ensure that there is a price to pay when maximizing the likelihood function for a spectral function solution that has too many bumps and wiggles.
  - ▶ The computed spectral function approaches the spectral function of perturbative QCD at high frequencies:  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Black Box Methods (I)

- ▶ The effective mass is a simple example. Starting from the  $T = 2, K = 1$  Vandermonde system

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^t \\ \alpha_1^{t+1} \end{pmatrix} (a_1) \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \frac{y_{t+1}}{y_t}, \quad a_1 = \frac{y_t}{\alpha_1^t}$$

- ▶ The effective mass has been generalized to find two mass estimates. Starting from the  $T = 4, K = 2$  Vandermonde system

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ y_{t+1} \\ y_{t+2} \\ y_{t+3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 \\ \alpha_1^3 & \alpha_2^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \alpha_1^t \\ a_2 \alpha_2^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Black Box Methods (II)

- ▶ Three quantities are computed from the data:

$$A = y_{t+1}^2 - y_t y_{t+2}, \quad B = y_t y_{t+3} - y_{t+1} y_{t+2}, \quad C = y_{t+2}^2 - y_{t+1} y_{t+3}$$

- ▶ The two solutions for the non-linear parameters  $\alpha_k$  come from the familiar quadratic equation

$$\alpha_{1,2} = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}.$$

- ▶ It is plausible that there are algebraic solutions to the three-state and four-state effective mass problems when properly reduced to cubic and quartic equations after separation of variables.
- ▶ (H.-W. Lin)

# Variational Methods

- ▶ The variational method is the generalization of effective mass to correlator matrices.
- ▶ For a  $N \times N$  correlator matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  the  $N$  effective masses are solutions to the generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$\mathbf{C}(t, t_0)\mathbf{z} = \lambda\mathbf{C}(t + 1, t_0)\mathbf{z}$$

- ▶ The variational method involves only two time slices. A generalized method to extract masses from multiple time slices would involve solving a higher order matrix polynomial equation, e.g.

$$\mathbf{C}(t, t_0)\mathbf{z} - 2\lambda\mathbf{C}(t + 1, t_0)\mathbf{z} + \lambda^2\mathbf{C}(t + 2, t_0)\mathbf{z} = 0$$

- ▶ There are twice as many solutions, but not all of them need to be physical. **Open question:** Does the benefit of having more solutions outweigh the burden of eliminating unphysical ones?
- ▶ (A. Lichtl)

# Conclusions

- ▶ Lattice QCD calculations are getting so advanced that it is no longer sufficient to simply measure the easiest things, e.g., ground state masses of hadrons.
- ▶ Many possible directions to try:
  - ▶ Bayesian Methods
    - ▶ Constrained Fitting
    - ▶ Maximum Entropy Method
  - ▶ Black Box Methods
  - ▶ Variational Methods
- ▶ Many possible pitfalls!
  - ▶ Biased Priors
  - ▶ Unphysical Solutions
  - ▶ Sub-optimal Solutions